REMARKS

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested. Claims 1 and 20 have been amended.

Prior Art Rejections

Claims 1, 6, 15, 18, 19, 31, 36, 50 and 55 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) based on U.S. Patent No. 6,687,242 of Enzmann et al. ("Enzmann"). Claims 2, 7, 20, 32, 37, 41, 45, 51 and 56 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Enzmann in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,094,587 of Armanto et al. ("Armanto"). Claims 69-70 stand allowed. The remaining claims stand rejected based on combinations of Enzmann and various other references.

Claim 1 recites:

 (Currently amended) A mobile communication device comprising: a telephony unit in the mobile communication device to process telephony signals and to receive a signal indicating an incoming call over a wireless link, the signal including Caller-ID information; and a browser in the mobile communication device to enable a user to

a browser in the mobile communication device to enable a user to access and navigate hypermedia information, and further to receive the Caller-ID information from the telephony unit in response to the incoming call and, in response to receiving the Caller-ID information, to execute without user intervention a predetermined action based on the Caller-ID information.

Enzmann does not disclose or suggest a technique in which <u>a browser</u> in a mobile communication device receives Caller-ID information and executes a predetermined action <u>without user intervention</u> based on the Caller-ID information.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner cites Enzmann at col. 1, line 58 - col. 2, line 22, and incorrectly contends, "It is clear that the browser does not require user intervention to execute a predetermined action based on the Caller-ID information, in response to receiving the Caller-ID Information." (Final Office Action, p. 3). The

Examiner's conclusion is surprising, since in the immediately preceding sentence in the Office Action, the Examiner <u>admits</u> the correctness of Applicants' argument by stating, "Enzmann teaches that <u>the subscriber</u> made a request for additional information" (Final Office Action, p. 2)(emphasis added).

Enzmann discloses that a mobile handset receives Caller-ID information, where the mobile handset is equipped with a browser. The telephone network provides an indication to the handset, which can be displayed to the user, that additional information associated with the calling party is available upon request (col. 1, lines 36-43). The browser provides the user with the ability to obtain the additional information. However, the browser in Enzmann cannot act in response to the Caller-ID information unless the user initiates a request for additional information:

"The subscriber can initiate the request either while the telephone is ringing (before answering the call), during the call, while the number is displayed (if the handset can simultaneously support a voice call and an IP session), or recalling numbers of calling parties stored in the handset's memory after a call has been missed" (col. 1 line 67, col. 2 lines 1-6)(emphasis added).

Hence, Enzmann clearly does <u>not</u> disclose or suggest a process or method for engaging the browser <u>without user intervention</u>, in contrast with claim 1. Thus, claim 1 is not anticipated by Enzmann, nor is it obvious based on Enzmann.

Independent claims 20, 31 and 50 also essentially recite the above-emphasized claim limitation, and other limitations. Therefore, claims 20, 31 and 50 are also patentable over the cited art for similar reasons.

Dependent Claims

In view of the above remarks, a specific discussion of the dependent claims is considered to be unnecessary. Therefore, Applicant's silence regarding any dependent claim is not to be interpreted as an agreement with, or acquiescence to, the rejection of such claim or as waiving any argument regarding the claim.

Conclusion

For the forgoing reasons, the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly requested.

If any additional fee is required, please charge deposit account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

Jordan M. Becker Reg. No. 39,602

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date:

Customer No. 26529 12400 Wilshire Blvd. Seventh Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

(408) 720-8300